

#### **WWF Scotland**

Little Dunkeld, Dunkeld Perthshire PH8 0AD

Tel: 01350 728200 Fax: 01350 728201 ISDN: 01350 728154 wwfscotland.org.uk

# Scottish Draft Budget 2012-13 & Spending Review

## **WWF Scotland evidence to the Finance Committee**

#### October 2011

WWF Scotland welcomes the opportunity to brief the Committee on the Draft Budget. The Budget and Spending Review are very important levers in securing a transition to a low carbon economy and the delivery of Scotland's Climate Act commitments. A low carbon budget must be seen as an investment in the wellbeing of our country, supporting green jobs, more comfortable homes, cleaner energy, active travel and more efficient public transport.

#### Summary

- The Draft Budget and Spending Review make a welcome commitment to supporting a
  low carbon economy and the need to help achieve Scotland's climate change targets. The
  nature of decisions we make now will impact on our climate emissions for decades ahead
  by locking us into specific development pathways and behaviour and are therefore of
  critical importance in delivering a low carbon Scotland.
- Spending proposals on home energy efficiency and active travel appear to fall short of levels necessary to deliver Scotland's Climate Change Act emission reduction targets. On homes we also believe that the spending proposals fall short of levels necessary to meet Scotland's commitment to eradicate fuel poverty by 2016.
- Spending on active travel in 2012-13 has potentially been cut to 50% of current levels.
   Whilst spending on home energy efficiency represents an increase from current low
   levels it remains less than that allocated in 2010-11 and 2009-10 and in real terms is set
   to fall during the period of the Spending Review.
- A modest increased investment in home energy efficiency and a switch of some of the transport budget from spending on motorways and trunk roads into active travel would provide huge opportunities to not only help cut our climate emissions but also improve health and thus support a preventative spend agenda.
- Spending on improving the energy efficiency of our homes and on supporting active
  travel infrastructure offer some of the best opportunities to deliver economic benefits
  across Scotland from boosting capital expenditure. Instead of focusing on just a few
  large-scale infrastructure projects, capital investment in improving our homes and
  supporting active travel are more likely to be distributed Scotland-wide, provide better
  opportunities for local employment and businesses and for this money then to be
  invested in local communities.

WWF Scotland acknowledges the current constraints on public expenditure and believes that effective spending decisions can support long-term solutions that deliver multiple economic, social and environmental benefits and ensure that a 'One Planet' Scotland becomes a reality. By thinking in an integrated way, public spending can deliver better outcomes for less.

WWF Scotland welcomes the commitment to support the transition to a low carbon economy as one of the priorities set out for the Scottish Draft Budget and Spending Review. The explicit recognition that the Draft Budget and Spending Review needs to help 'achieve our world-leading climate change targets, under the Climate Change (Scotland) Act' and that 'helping to tackle climate change is an exemplar of preventative spend' is welcome. Despite these commitments, the Draft Budget and Spending Review fall short of giving WWF Scotland confidence that Scotlish Government spending plans are compatible with delivering the Climate Change (Scotland) Act targets, supporting Scotland realise the low carbon job opportunities available and reflecting the scale of preventative spend opportunities available by supporting sustainable transport and improving the energy efficiency of Scotland's housing stock.

#### Home Energy Efficiency

Funding: With homes responsible for a quarter of Scotland's climate emissions and a third of Scotland's households suffering from fuel poverty, investment to improve the energy efficiency homes is critical. The Spending Review includes a commitment to invest just under £200m in fuel poverty and energy efficiency programmes over the coming three years, with specific reference to supporting the 'Energy Assistance Package (EAP), Universal Home Insulation Scheme (UHIS) and Boiler Scrappage Scheme.' Since the Draft Budget and Spending Review announcement, the Government has confirmed that the breakdown on energy efficiency and fuel poverty spend will be £65m, £66m, and £66.25m for each consecutive year. Whilst this constitutes a greater spend than the current year (£48m) it is still less than the 2010-11 budget (£70.9m) and 2009-10 (£65.9m). Given that the numbers of people in fuel poverty are rising, it is disappointing to see the level of investment in these schemes diminishing, not increasing.

We are not confident that the level of funding to improve the energy efficiency of our existing housing stock is consistent with delivering the emissions abatement attributed to domestic building energy efficiency in the RPP or the statutory Government target to eradicate fuel poverty by 2016. Based on the outcomes of the 2010-2011 Universal Home Insulation Scheme, increasing energy efficiency spending by just £35m to bring the total energy efficiency spend to £100 m in each of the following three years would deliver additional carbon dioxide emission cuts of 45,000 tonnes per year as well as significant reductions in fuel poverty.

Realising the Preventative Spend Opportunity: The Draft Budget and Spending Review proposals acknowledge that tackling climate change is an important preventative spend. However the spending proposals on home energy efficiency fail to fully reflect this opportunity.

Spending on home insulation prevents people from having to live in cold, damp homes, incurring numerous health and social problems. According to the Fuel Poverty Advisory Group for England, for every £1 spent on fuel poverty, 42p is saved by the NHS. ¹ Published on October 19<sup>th</sup> 2011, the Hill Report for the UK Government (DECC) shows that in England and Wales 2,700 people are dying every year as a direct result of fuel poverty, more than the number killed in traffic accidents. Figures in Scotland are likely to be proportionately higher given our cold and damp climate and the poor quality of much of our housing stock.

Based on research from the UK and elsewhere, Friends of the Earth Scotland have estimated that by helping eliminate fuel poverty, home energy improvements could prevent around 180,000 cases of anxiety and depression each year and by cutting the incidence of asthma and respiratory problems, they could reduce the number of children and working adults taking time off for illness by 15 and 25% respectively.<sup>2</sup>

October 2011 2

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Poverty Advisory Group, eighth annual report, http://bit.ly/rbBKzE

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Friends of the Earth Scotland 42% better off – the reasibility and added value of meeting Scotland's climate change target for 2020 (FoES, 2010)

Boosting Scotland's Economy through Capital Spend: The Scottish Government Draft Budget and Spending Review transfers some funding from the revenue budget into capital spend in order to support the Scottish economy. Under a broad heading of capital expenditure different decisions will offer very different opportunities to the Scottish economy and some offer much better multiple benefits. Allocating capital budget to improve the energy efficiency of our homes not only offers benefits of cutting carbon emissions and tackling fuel poverty but provides significant widespread economic benefits:

- Work undertaken for WWF by the Energy Savings Trust shows that improving all E, F & G homes to a D rating would not only achieve a 25% reduction in residential emissions on 1990 levels and reduce annual carbon emissions per home by 2.70 tonnes but support support nearly 10,000 jobs directly and generate £613 m gross value added (GVA) to the economy.
- The Fuel Poverty Advisory group for England reported that tackling fuel poverty will improve local economic activity, particularly in deprived areas where money not spent on fuel bills will find its way into the local economy.3
- Expenditure on improving the energy efficiency of our existing housing is spread across the country, helping to ensure all communities benefit from the employment opportunities available.
- The nature of the employment opportunities is likely to be accessible to local people and companies.

In his oral evidence to the Infrastructure and Capital Investment Committee Professor Tom Rye noted that:

'despite my being a transport professor I might suggest moving the money out of transport as a whole and spending it elsewhere, for example on combating fuel poverty, because such investment can be delivered in small tranches, uses local labour, is labour intensive and is good for social inclusion and climate change.' 4

### Sustainable Transport

Funding: Road transport is the second biggest single contributor to greenhouse gas emissions, accounting for over 25% of Scotland's total emissions in 2009 and emissions from road transport have risen by 4.4% since 1990. The transport sector has one of the greatest abatement potentials for carbon emissions in Scotland. However, whereas the RPP acknowledges the need for a 'step-change in devolved policy action', this transport budget remains at odds with the need to cut emissions from this sector. In order to meet the emissions reductions required from the transport sector, many of the most effective interventions in financial terms will be those which require least in the way of expensive infrastructure – for example, the widespread introduction of travel planning, active travel, the introduction or increase in parking charges and reductions in road speeds.

As we note in our detailed submission to the Infrastructure and Capital Investment Committee<sup>5</sup> we are concerned that The Sustainable and Active Travel budget line has been reduced significantly from £25.1m to just £16m in 2012-13. The Draft Budget and Spending Review proposals currently list the 'Cycling, Walking & Safer Routes' (CWSS) budget as 'tbc' (having been £9m in 2010-11 and £7.5m in 2011-12) to the CWSS. A mere 1% of the total transport spend is allocated to support greener transport options such as walking and cycling. At the same time spending on motorways and trunk roads is set to increase by 25% by the end of the Spending Review period, as a result of financial support that includes spending to support the Forth Road Bridge, Aberdeen Western Peripheral Route and M8 upgrade. The increase in funding for motorways and trunk roads alone between 2011-12 and 2012-13 equates to more than five times the total budget for sustainable and active travel in 2012-13.

http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/S4\_InfrastructureandCapitalInvestmentCommittee/General%20Documents/Written\_evidence \_from\_WWF\_Scotland.pdf

October 2011 3

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Poverty Advisory Group, eighth annual report, http://bit.ly/rbBKzE

http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/parliamentarybusiness/28862.aspx?r=6449&i=58762&c=1226371

Realising the Preventative Spend Opportunity: Spending on greener transport options such as active travel will support local jobs and improve health. Sir Liam Donaldson, England's former Chief Medical Officer, has described physical activity as "one of the most undervalued interventions in public health." Research published by the Transform Scotland Trust has estimated that if Scotland were to secure cycling levels similar to those in continental Europe the Scottish economy could save £1-2bn each year on health care costs.

Boosting Scotland's Economy through Capital Spend: Within the transport budget allocating greater spend to support walking and cycling programmes rather than motorway and trunk road projects provides scope to realise significant economic benefits:

- Commissioned by the UK government the Eddington Transport Study into links between transport and the UK economy concluded that "some of the best projects are small scale, such as walking and cycling schemes."
- Sustrans estimate that the development of the National Cycle Network in Scotland has
  resulted in £12m investment a year to SMEs in the construction sector, £100m a year in
  the leisure and tourism sectors and health benefits equivalent to £60m a year in
  Scotland. They also note that active travel schemes are often relatively small and
  support local companies, e.g. the development of the National Cycle Route 78 from Oban
   Ballachulish. 9
- Research published by the Transform Scotland Trust report that switching 20% of Scottish car commuting journeys to walking or cycling could result in economic savings of between £2.8m and £11.6m per year.<sup>10</sup>

In his oral evidence to the Infrastructure and Capital Investment Committee Transport Professor Tom Rye noted that: <sup>11</sup>

"...smaller and more local projects in transport investment generate more jobs per pound spent. They also reduce leakage from the local economy."

Commenting on very large infrastructure projects, Rye also noted; "we have to be sceptical about the economic development impact of the infrastructure investments."

"...if we are serious about the economy and delivering economic development benefits quickly through transport investment projects, such as they are, large projects appear to be the wrong priority focus."

#### Conclusion

Housing and transport are two sectors of the Scottish economy that are responsible for more than 40% of our annual carbon emissions. WWF Scotland urges Ministers to strengthen the Draft Budget and Spending Review to ensure that it adequately reflects Scotland's commitment to secure a low carbon future and reflects the preventative spend and economic opportunities in these areas.

Contact	Eva Groeneveld, <u>egroeneveld@wwfscotland.org.uk</u> 01350 728200
	Dr Dan Barlow, <u>dbarlow@wwfscotland.org.uk</u> 01350 728200

October 2011 4

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> http://bjsm.bmj.com/content/34/6/409.extract

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> http://www.transformscotland.org.uk/transport-and-the-economy.aspx

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> http://www.thepep.org/ClearingHouse/docfiles/Eddington.Transport.Study%20-%20Rod.pdf

 $http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/S4\_Infrastructureand Capital Investment Committee/General \% 20 Documents/Written\_evidence\_from\_Sustrans.pdf$ 

<sup>10</sup> http://www.transformscotland.org.uk/transport-and-the-economy.aspx

<sup>11</sup> http://www.scottish.parliament.uk/parliamentarybusiness/28862.aspx?r=6449&i=58762&c=1226371